

and significance to us, and it's being done because Ak-Sar-Ben is twisting the screws. Because Ak-Sar-Ben has gotten it into its head that it can stampede the Legislature, the Legislature need not comply. The Legislature need not violate its agreement. The agreement was made. I don't think that Senator Kremer's motion to reconsider ought to be accepted. I think it ought to be voted down. If everybody, who voted the first time, will stand firm Senator Kremer cannot prevail. I agree with Senator Kremer on a number of issues, but he is wrong this time. He is dead wrong. But because he acknowledges that he may not know all the ramifications of the agreement, he is personally mistaken in the position that he's taking. He is opening a door for Ak-Sar-Ben which nobody else, apparently, would open. It is known that Senator Kremer enjoys a considerable amount of respect and esteem in this body. So if Senator Kremer is put in front as the saint man, that is designed automatically to bring in the votes. Senator Savage told me, when he first brought this bill forth, that he was not aware of an agreement having been made. So they got Senator Savage first, who didn't know. Now they catch Senator Kremer who does not know. In each case they've taken an individual who enjoys a degree of respect in the eyes of the other members of the Legislature. That, to me, is one of the most unethical and questionable tactics which can be followed. To take people who are unaware of what Ak-Sar-Ben is aware of and withhold information from those people, and put them out there, and once they begin to get the information, as it filters through to them, tell them "well you've committed yourself now, you've come this far, you have to go the last leg of the journey".

PRESIDENT: You have one minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I disagree with that. I think Senator Kremer's motion ought to be voted down. Were I situated as Senator Kremer is situated, and were I me and the wool had been pulled over my eyes, I would either vote no on my own motion, or I would sit it out. But I definitely do not think we ought to reconsider that vote and adopt Senator Kremer's motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: I have a question of Senator Savage, if he'd yield, please. Senator Savage, you indicated that you've had some new information concerning some of these additional services and the possibility of the bet not being paid off. How long have you had this information, Senator Savage?

SENATOR SAVAGE: About a week.

SENATOR DWORAK: Did you try to inform the body of this information, with the names? I haven't seen it if it came across my desk.

SENATOR SAVAGE: It was in the paper. It was in the newspaper.

SENATOR DWORAK: Okay. Thank you Senator Savage.

PRESIDENT: Senator Marsh.